

EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/025,531	02/18/98	BECKMAN		J	1-5119
_			刁	EXAMINER	
QM12/0523 MACMILLIAN SOBANSKI & TODD ONE MARITIME PLAZA FOURTH FLOOR 720 WATER STREET TOLEDO OH 43604			NGUYEN, T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3726	16
IULEDO OH 4				DATE MAILE	05/23/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/025,531

Beckman

Office Action Summary Examiner

Trinh Nguyen

Group Art Unit 3726



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 22, 2000	·
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance exception accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	ot for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
	set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever
Disposition of Claims	is/are pending in the application.
	ie/ore withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 1-27	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is _approved _disapproved. ner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). pies of the priority documents have been ial Number) om the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pa Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTIO	ON ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/025,531

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 3/22/00 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/025,531 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Horton et al. (US 5,862,877).

Horton et al. discloses a method for manufacturing a cradle assembly comprising the steps of: hydroforming the side frame members (12, 112, 14, 114, 26, 126) to form a plurality of integrally mounting structures thereon (38, 60, 42, 43, 202), wherein the integrally mounting structures comprise of apertures in which various vehicle components can be mounted directly to the integrally mounting structures (see lines 1-50 of col. 5); and securing a cross member (24) to the side frame members. As shown in Figure 2 at 126, note that the integrally mounting structure comprises an inwardly extending protrusion which is shaped to support any vehicle components.

Application/Control Number: 09/025,531

Art Unit: 3726

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 8-10, 12, 13, and 15-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horton et al. (US 5,862,877).

With respect to claims 3, 8, and 15, Horton et al. discloses the claimed invention as stated above in paragraph 3 except for specifying the specify location of the aperture relative to various brackets. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the aperture locates at a certain specific location as claimed, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.

With respect to claims 9, 10, 12 and 13, Horton et al. discloses the claimed invention as stated above in paragraph 3 except for specifying that both the first and second side frame members comprise an inwardly extending protrusion thereon. However, since Horton et al.'s method of manufacturing teach the step of forming an inwardly protrusion on a frame member during a hydroforming process, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to form another inwardly protrusion onto another frame member, so as to provide an additional mounting structure for the entire cradle assembly.

Application/Control Number: 09/025,531

Art Unit: 3726

With respect to claims 16-27, it is believed that the claimed steps of "connecting" various 6.

vehicle components to the integrally mounting structure, as such, are inherent steps within Horton

et al.'s method of manufacturing a vehicle frame assembly. However, if the method do not teach

the steps of "connecting", then one of ordinary skill in the art would been found it obvious to

connect various vehicle components, such as control arm, engine, cab, leaf spring, box, and

bumper, to the integrally mounting structure, so as to form a complete motor vehicle that can

operate efficiently, since these components are the main bulk that drive the whole operation of the

motor vehicle.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's remarks files on 3/22/00 is acknowledge. 7.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 8.

disclosure and are cited on form PTO-892 encloses herewith.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 9.

should be directed to Trinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9082.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

TTN

May 11, 2000

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 4